

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: Deadline 4 Comments on ISH2
Date: 13 January 2021 21:19:30

[REDACTED]

Nicholas Thorp - 20024417 & 20024418
Jonathan Burch - 20024872 & 20024875

EA1N & EA2 DCO Examinations - Deadline 4 Comments on ISH 2

Dear Examining Authority,

We would like to respond and take issue with Scottish Power Renewables oral submission at issue Specific Hearing 2 on 2nd and 3rd of December 2020: Onshore Siting, Design and Construction in which SPR argued that additional projects, widely perceived to connect to the Grid at Friston, should not be included in their Cumulative Impact Assessment.

Mr Pizzolla & Mr Innes (SPR applicants) assertion that SPR would be unable to square cumulative impact issues by carrying out necessary impact assessments, as a "speculative task" is not acceptable. SPR informed examiners that they could only assess on a worst case scenario basis. Given PINs are aware of other projects, some of which are now registered on the PINS website, we hope the Examining Authorities will uphold their pledge to take all additional projects into account and:

- 1/ Ask instruct SPR to commit to a "Worst Case Scenario" Cumulative Impact Assessment.
- 2/ Allow for a rigorous examination of this Cumulative Impact Assessment, looking at requirements for additional substations and infrastructure for other projects, landfall sites and need to land multiple cables along the fragile sand cliff coast line, plus the impacts and of more cable corridors across an AONB (how many times will a 9 km cable corridor 60m+ wide be redug).

Appendix One of SASES Response to ISH2 Action Points which looks at projects with actual or potential Grid Connections at Friston shows a possible 8 ADDITIONAL 8 CABLE TRENCHES might be required for other projects, maybe more.

It must be emphasised that all the primary stakeholders in this Examination including The Rt Hon Thérèse Coffey MP, East Suffolk Council, Suffolk County Council, Aldeburgh Town Council, Natural England, SASES, SOS and SEAS believe that the effects of these projects and associated impacts should be fully considered within this Examination.

We are concerned that SPR and National Grid continue to duck and dive the issues and this is undermining effective scrutiny of the impacts and wider impacts of these proposals when other projects are brought into the frame. Surely it is for the examiners to ask this and not for SPR to tell them it is not necessary? As this requirement is set out within NPS Energy documentation.

Kind regards
Nicholas Thorp & Jonathan Burch

